

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addrew COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS PO Bex 1459 Alexandra, Viguna 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09.892,862	06 28 2001	Naoya Hashimoto	Q65135	3124
7	590 05 21/2003			
SUGHRUE, I	MION, ZINN, MACPE	AK & SEAS	EXAMI	NER .

SUGHRUE, MION, ZINN, MACPEAK & SEAS 2100 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20037

ADDISON, KAREN B

PAPER NUMBER

2834

DATE MAILED: 05/21/2003

ART UNIT

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

[.	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/892,862	HASHIMOTO ET AL			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Karen B Addison	2834			
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- reply within the statutory minimum of thirty riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON atute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication.			
Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on a	14/06/00				
	This action is non-final.				
 Since this application is in condition for allocation closed in accordance with the practice unce Disposition of Claims 	der <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.E	ters, prosecution as to the merits is D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
4) Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are pending in the appli	ication.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without	drawn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-2</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the preparation of the pr	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language p 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	provisional application has bee	en received.			
Attachment(s)		- ··			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Int	ummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) formal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/892,862

Art Unit: 2834

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over APA (applicants prior art) in view of Harada (4624884).

APA substantially teaches the claim invention except that is does not show the bobbin, outer molding and conducting wires compose of an electrically-insulating material resistant to permeation by sulfur and means for preventing sulfur compounds from permeating the electrically insulating layer and attendantly reducing the formation of sulfur compounds on a surface of the conducting wire, thereby suppressing the reduction in adhesive strength of the electrically insulating layer to said conducting wire, wire breakage, and short circuiting between the conducting wires.

Harada teaches an electrically insulating layer resistant to permeation made of a material (thermal setting resin) coated on the bobbin (19), outer molding, and conductive wires (13 and 14) having means for preventing sulfur compounds from permeating the electrically insulating layer and attendantly reducing the

Application/Control Number: 09/892,862

Art Unit: 2834

formation of sulfur compounds on a surface of the conducting wire, thereby suppressing the reduction in adhesive strength of the electrically insulating layer to the conducting wire, wire breakage, and short circuiting between the conducting wires by means of thermal setting resin for the purpose of avoiding degradation of material under high field intensity environment. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at time the invention was made to modify the electromagnetic device of APA with the electrical insulating layer of Harada for purpose avoiding a drop in output during overheating due to copper loss resulting from a temperature rise and dispense with heat-resistance treatment of the wire in a coil of an electric motor.

It also would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to select a thermosetting resin as the material of the insulating layer since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in

Response to Arguments

the art to select a known material on the basis of suitability for the intended use

as a matter of obvious design choice. In re leshin,125 USPQ 416.

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-2 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 09/892,862

Art Unit: 2834

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Karen B Addison whose telephone number is 703-306-5855. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 to 4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nestor Ramirez can be reached on 703-308-1317. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3431 for regular communications and 703-305-3431 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

12 - 8

KBA May 16, 2003